Scrutiny comments and questions on initial revenue savings proposals 2019-20 as contained in the cabinet budget report on 8 November 2018

Scrutiny Comments and Questions	There was a sum of £72,000 as Ref No 1 in 2018/19 for efficiency savings as a result of greater partnership working in community equipment provision - reduction in duplication of community equipment services and changes in processes to promote more joined up and streamlined services. Overall in two years this would mean a saving of £140,700 in community equipment provision. Q. What has been the number of people helped through the provision of equipment over the past 3 years?	Q. As the savings have already been secured is any further decision required?	There was a sum of £650,000 as ref 6 in 2018/19 for the review of all community based packages. Q. Is this the same client base? Q. How many people are in receipt of a home support package?
Saving 2019-20 £	68,700	008'9	253,300
Saving Proposal	Remove duplication within contracts - Cessation of Red Cross short term equipment contract provided to Community Equipment Store	Re-tender of Healthwatch and Health Complaints advocacy contract.	Review of all people receiving a home support package of 1 visit per day of 1 hour or less and, where appropriate, provide alternative care and support
Portfolio And Service Area	Adult social care commissioning	Adult social care commissioning	Adult Social Care - Service Delivery
Ref Num	-	2	4

Q. How many visits are of less than 30 minutes?	Q. As all those affected are to have a review what is the timescale for these?	Q. As the policy change was approved in November 2017 and is already being implemented on a means tested basis is there a need for any further decision to be taken?	There was a sum of £20,000 as ref no 8 in 2018/19 for reconfiguration of mental health day centres (Wellbeing Centres.	Q. What is the timescale for the 87 clients to have their review and plan for alternative community provision?	Q. What is the timescale for the 16 clients to have a comprehensive review?	Q. What arrangements will be in place to ensure that any equipment provided is kept fully functioning?	Q. As this is part of the continuous review of care packages is there any further decision required?	Q. Will there be a performance measure for undertaking reviews within agreed timescales to ensure needs of clients are met?
		255,700	142,700		102,000		1,000,000	
		Full year effect of the approved changes to the non-residential care charging policy.	Reconfiguration of Mental Health (MH) Day Centres (Wellbeing Centres)		Review and modernisation of internal Mobile Nights service		Ongoing review of all care packages	
		Adult Social Care - Business & Market Development	Adult Social Care - Service Delivery		Adult Social Care - Service Delivery		Adult Social Care - Service Delivery	
		2	9		Ref num 7		Ref num 8	

Q. What is difference between the council charges and those in the external market?	Q. What is the timeframe for the reregistration of internal homes and will there be any capital costs for any associated alterations?	Q. What is the actual cost of providing the service and what is the shortfall?	Q. This is the level of income being achieved so is any decision required?	Q. Will the level of income remain the same or is there a risk that activity levels will decrease resulting in less income?	Q. As new contract is already being implemented from September 2018 is there a need for any further decision?	Q. As this income is currently being received is any further decision required?	Q. What is the impact of not providing additional support to young people who are not in education, employment or training?	Q. When will it be known how many schools will purchase the service?
20,800	160,500	17,000	50,000		73,000	30,000	50,000	25,000
Review and reconfiguration of Day Care opportunities at Adelaide & Gouldings, including the review of charges	Review and reconfiguration of internal Learning Disability residential homes to explore more independent arrangements through Supported Living.	Increase in charges for Wightcare services	Additional Deputyship income due to an increase in activity levels		Home to School Transport contract reduction	Additional income to education welfare based currently being received	Reduced contract provision from Island Futures	Establish the Careers Service as a self sustaining Service and
Adult Social Care - Service Delivery	Adult Social Care - Service Delivery	Adult Social Care - Service Delivery	Adult Social Care - Business & Market Development		Children's services - Access, Performance & Resources	Children's services - Education & Inclusion	Children's services - Education & Inclusion	Children's services – Education and inclusion
Ref num 9	Ref num 10	Ref num 11	Ref num 12		Ref num 14	Ref num 15	Ref num 16	Ref num 17

effective careers service?	oendent report 10,000 Q. Has the change in the process for complaints deterred the number of complaints being made?	Q. How many complaints have been dealt with over the past 3 years?	February 2018 February 2018 Taken and implemented is there any further decision to be taken?	urers contract 10,000 Q. How much is now being spent on activities for young carers?	nisation structure 10,000 Indicates that service levels will not be adversely affected. This therefore appears to indicate that there will be an impact.	Q. Is this impact upon statutory services and if so what is the current performance against targets?	orium and 180,000 In ref no 30 for 2018/19 it was indicated that there it was intended to run the service on a more commercial basis incorporating the requirement to charge on a full cost recovery basis.	Q. Does the increase ensure a full cost recovery?
	Complaints independent report writing - reduction in inactivity levels		Management re-structure implemented in February	YMCA Young Carers contract reduction	Review of organisate of Regulatory Serv		Increase Crematorium and Cemetery charges by 5%.	
	Children's services – Children and families		Children's services – children and families	Children's services – children and families	Community safety and public protection - regulatory services/community	safety	Community safety and public protection – bereavement services	
	Ref num 18		Ref num 19	Ref num 21	Ref num 25		Ref num 26	

In ref no 32 for 2018/19 it was indicated that there it was intended to run the service on a more commercial basis incorporating the requirement to charge on a full cost recovery basis. Q. Does the increase ensure a full cost recovery?	In ref no 58 for 2018/19 it was indicated that there would be additional income of £200,000.	Q. Is there a risk that if charges are increased too high there will be market resistance and has this been factored in?	Q. Is there any data to support the view that the increased activity levels have improved the Island's public health outcomes?	Could be linked in with ref number 29.	Q. Can this increase be linked directly with the actual investment at the Heights?	Q. What was the capital cost of the new facility?	In ref no 74 for 2018/19 it was indicated that there would be a review of all permits as well as charges leading to an increase in income of £550,000. The latest paper
5,000	150,000			104,000			20,800
Increase Registration charges by 5%	Additional Leisure Centre income arising from higher current income levels and increases in charges	. 50 19/40.		Additional Leisure Centre income	due to the previous years investment in the new Heights facility		Increase charges for parking permits: 5% for all except the Island Permit 10%.
Community safety and public protection – registrations service	Environment and heritage – commercial services			Environment and	nentage – commercial services		Environment and heritage – parking services permits
Ref num 27	Ref num 29			Ref num	9		Ref num 33

indicates that there has been a prolonged period of price freezes.	and why were permits not increased in line with last year's decision?	O. What level of enforcement is there currently for enforcing the existing waiting restrictions?	Q. How has the level of anticipated income be calculated?	O. What level of enforcement is there currently for the existing waiting restrictions?	Q. How has the level of anticipated income be calculated?	Q. What level of enforcement is there currently for the existing waiting restrictions?	Q. How has the level of anticipated income been calculated?	O. Does this proposal apply to both on street and off street car parks?	Q. What are the arrangements for enforcement given the time involved and will the Council's parking enforcement officers be expected to undertake this duty on their own?
		74,700		17,000		31,000		1 80,800	
		Introduce on-street charging for parking in Ryde		Introduce additional on street charging for parking in Newport.		Introduce additional on street charging for parking in Newport		Introduce charges for all on-street chargeable areas and off-street car parks from 6pm til 8am. A	nominal fee of £1.00 to be levied
		Environment and heritage – parking services – Ryde on street		Environment and heritage – parking services – Crocker	, 100 do	Environment and heritage – parking services – Upper St	Newport	Environment and heritage – evening charges	
		Ref num 34		Ref num 35		Ref num 36		Ref num 37	

Q. How has the level of anticipated income be calculated? Q. What alternative car parks are available for each site?	Q. What level of enforcement is there currently for enforcing the existing waiting restrictions?	Q. In ref no 73 for 2018/19 there was a saving for negotiated efficiencies in the contract. Is this taken into account in the proposed figure?	Q. Is this being introduced at the request of applicants?	Q. Are there any other authorities operating a similar system?	Q. What is the level of service for each category?	Q. Will this raise expectations of applicants?	Q. What are the numbers of landlords already covered by the existing controls?	Q. How many more will be covered by this latest proposal?	Q. Is this a statutory control that has to be exercised by the council?
27,000		1,000,000	39,000				25,000		
Introduce charging for parking on all Esplanades (would be new for Culver, Sandown, Shanklin, Ventnor and Cowes) all year around.		Comprehensive review of the Highways PFI contractual arrangements	Promotion and take up of enhanced pre planning advice	services to be provided			Extension of scope of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) control	בוס משנים מינים	
Environment and heritage – Esplanades/all season		Infrastructure and transport – highways PFI contract	Planning and Housing renewal –	רומוווים - יייוווים - יייווים			Planning and Housing renewal –		
Ref num 38		Ref num 39	Ref num 40				Ref num 42		

Q. What benefits will it bring to tenants?	Q. How many incidents of fly tipping has there been over the past 3 years?	Q. As this should be part of an agreed rent review process is any further decision required?	Q. Is there an opportunity through the One Public Service project to extend programmes to all partners or link in with their programmes?
	15,000	20,000	5,000
	Reduce Lynbottom Household Waste Recycling Centre winter opening times by closing one hour earlier each day.	Income from leases	External marketing of learning and development programme
	Procurement, projects and forward planning – waste contract.	Resources – property Income from leases management	Resources – corporate services
	Ref num 43	Ref num 53	Ref num 54